

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪ.—ಇಬ್ಬರುವ ಗೋಕಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಸಮೀಪದಲ್ಲಿ ರಂಗನಾಯಕನ ಕೆರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಇದೆ. ಇದು ಒಡೆದು ಹತ್ತು-ಹನ್ನೆರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಮೇರಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ 9-10 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಅಂದಾಜು ಕೂಡ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ರಿಪೇರಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಲೇ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಗೋಕಚ್ಚಿಯನ್ನು P.W.D. ಯವರು ರಸ್ತೆಗಳು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಇರುವುದು ಗೋವುಗಳು ನೀರು ಕುಡಿಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾತ್ರ. ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆ ಕೆರೆಗಳಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ರಸ್ತೆಗಳು ಮಾಡುವ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಕೂಡ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

WRIT PETITIONS FILED BY EMPLOYERS CHALLENGING THE JURISDICTION OF SHRI MALIMATH, CHAIRMAN, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BANGALORE.

*Q.—194. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether some of the employers have filed writ petitions challenging the jurisdiction of Sri Malimath, Chairman, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, to try disputes that were pending before Sri D. H. Chandrasekharaiah, Ex-Chairman, Industrial Tribunal ;

(b) if so, the names of companies or such employers and the number of workers involved in each case ;

(c) the nature of the disputes and the year in which the disputes were raised by the workmen ;

(d) what action they propose to take in this behalf ?

A.—Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Minister for Law and Labour).—

(a) Yes.

(b) *Vide* cols. 1 and 2 of the statement below.

(c) *Vide* col. 3 of the statement below.

(d) Since the matter is before the High Court and *sub judice*, no action could be taken at Government level. However, it is proposed to place the matter before the State Evaluation and Implementation Committee meeting proposed to be convened on 27th March 1961.

Statement showing the names of companies and nature of the disputes, etc.

Sl. No.	Names of Companies employers	Number of workers involved	Nature of disputes and year in which the disputes were raised by the workmen
1	2	3	
1	Sri Krishnarajendra Mills Ltd., Mysore ...	135 (Staff only)	Cancellation of Agreement regarding removal of some members of the staff. Dispute about retirements effected, grades, Scales of pay etc., (1959). Bonus for 1957-58 (1959).
2	Mysore Kirloskar Ltd., Harihar ...	1,359	Basic Minimum Wages, Classification of workmen, Production and incentive bonus, Night Shift and acting allowance, etc. (1959).
3	Kirloskar Electric Co., Ltd., Bangalore ...	1,160	Wages, Double Wages for Night Shift, three months wages as bonus for every year. Provident Fund, D.A., Leave with Wages for Festival and National Holidays, Uniforms, etc. (1959).
4	A. K. Appanna Setty & Sons, Rice & Oil Mills, Tumkur.	60	Pay Scales, D.A., House Rent, Confirmation to some Workmen, retirement of two employees. (1960).
5	Road Transport Corporation (Private) Ltd., Bangalore.	70	D.A., Leave Facilities, Night Shift Allowance Wages, etc. (1958).
6	M/s. Srinivasa Textile Industries Ltd., Bangalore.	600	Uniform rate of D.A., Night Shift Allowance, Leave Facilities, Minimum Basic Wages, etc. (1958).
7	Sri Srinivasa Silk Mills, Bangalore-2 ...	100	One month's salary as Pooja Bonus during the Dasara Festival (1955).
8	Desi Textile Mills, Bangalore ...	190	Minimum Basic Wages, Enhancement of D.A., Leave Facilities, Night Shift Allowance, Bonus for 1958-59 (1960).
9	Desi Soap Works, Bangalore ...	107	

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—May I know whether the action taken by the Management is not in violation of the Code of Discipline ?

†Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Sir, the whole matter is *sub judice*. As to what is not covered by the writ petition, I have no objection to give answer.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—What is covered by the writ petition is the authority and jurisdiction. My question is, whether the action of the Management in filing a writ petition is not in opposition to the Code of Discipline of vexacious litigation ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Sir, I cannot sit in judgment and tell the House which is vexacious and which is not.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, that issue whether it is vexacious or not can never come before the court. It is the Government that has to decide. Who is the authority to say as to whether particular action is vexacious or not ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—That can be finally decided only after the High Court decision, rejecting the petition saying that it should not have been filed.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—I want to know whether the question whether it is vexacious or not is pending before the High Court ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Sir, whether a particular litigation is vexacious or not can only be decided after the court decides.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Does the Minister mean that it depends upon the result of the case ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—I do not at all feel like that.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Since the case is pending from a long time and since many of the issues pertain to 1956 and earlier, may I know if the Government, through the Advocate General would file an application before the High Court that this matter should be expedited and disposed of early ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—We are prepared to make a request, Sir.

2-00 P.M.

IRRIGATION WELLS SANCTIONED IN EACH TALUK OF KOLAR DISTRICT.

*Q.—64. Sri E. NARAYANA GOWDA (Bangarpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) the number of wells sanctioned by the state Government for each taluk in Kolar District, in accordance with the order of Central Government regarding sinking irrigation wells ;